

GAHC010027912015



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/7688/2015

SABITA TAMULI
W/O- PRANJAL KUMAR MENA, R/O- 9TH BYE LANE, DR. ZAKIR HUSSAIN
ROAD, PUB-SORUMOTORIA, DISPUR, KAMRUP M, ASSAM- 781036.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 4 ORS
REP. BY THE CHIEF SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, DISPUR, GHY- 6.

2:THE ADDL. CHIEF SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
DEPTT. OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE
ASSAM SECRETARIAT
DISPUR
GHY- 6.

3:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
SECRETARIAT BUILDING
FINANCE DEPTT.
DISPUR
GHY- 6.

4:THE COMMISSIONER
INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE DEPTT.
UDYOG BHAWAN
BAMUNIMAIDAM
GHY- 21.

5:THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
ASSAM INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD.
R.G. BARUAH ROAD
GHY- 24.

6:ASSAM INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN
R.G.BARUAH ROAD
GUWAHATI-2

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR.M P SARMA

Advocate for the Respondent : MR.S N SARMAR-4 and 5

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA**

ORDER

23.11.2021

Mr. J Singh, learned counsel submits that the father of Mr. BD Konwar, learned senior counsel for the petitioner is unwell and he accordingly prays for adjournment.

Ms. MD Bora, learned standing counsel for the AIDC on the other hand submits that the petitioner's representation was rejected by the authorities on 12.01.2011. She also submits that various other representations were submitted by the petitioner.

The counsel for the AIDC submits that one of the challenges made in the writ petition is to the office order dated 13.09.2013 issued vide Memo No.AIDC/Estt/1(T)/3310-19. However, the petitioner has submitted a representation for review of the above, vide letter dated 16.11.2021.

On perusing the representation dated 16.11.2021 submitted by the counsel for the respondent AIDC, it is seen that the petitioner is now challenging the same order which is under challenge in the writ petition.

List the matter on 25.11.2021 to enable the petitioner's counsel to clarify the said issue as the petitioner cannot be allowed to avail two parallel proceedings.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant