

GAHC010024182026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Crl.Rev.P./54/2026

NABANITA BURA GOHAIN
C/O SIVA PRASAD BORA
VILL- KAHU KUCHIP.O. AZARA,P.S. AZARA, DIST. KAMRUP, ASSAM, PIN-
781037.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR M H AHMED, R BEGUM,MR N ZAMAN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR

ORDER

Date : 02.03.2026

Heard Mr. M.H Ahmed, learned counsel for the petitioner and also heard Mr. I. Borthakur, learned Addl. P.P appearing for the State.

The fact reveals in the document before this Court in the criminal revision petition are to the fact that the vehicle of the petitioner had

been seized by the Adabari Outpost under Mukalmua P.S on 09.10.2025 in an abandon condition. Later on an FIR had been lodged on 21.11.2025 where the informant had alleged that a vehicle having different registration number and of a totally different make had been used to steal his cattle on the night of 20.10.2025. The document herein do not reveal as to how the vehicle of the petitioner which bears Registration No.AS-11-S-7161 is connected with the aforesaid incident. However, the order passed by the learned Addl. CJM, Nalbari reflects that the vehicle which has been named in the FIR dated 21.11.2025 to be involved in the theft of cattle has been released to the owner where as the vehicle of the petitioner has not been released on the ground that he has not produced any valid document such as RC/Insurance etc. and the same are required to be verified. The vehicle was seized on 09.10.2025, the documents like RC/Insurance etc are available on On-line. The vehicle which has been seized on 09.10.2025 does not appear to be involved in the theft occurred on 20.11.2025 since by that time the vehicle was already in custody of the Police authorities.

List this matter again on **09.03.2026** to enable the learned Addl. P.P to have his instruction and the report from the I.O as to how the vehicle in issue in this case is connected to the alleged theft which occurred when the vehicle was in the custody of the Police authorities. Moreover, the requirement of the vehicle to be kept under seizure is also to be placed before this Court. The I.O shall also place before this Court as to what steps for verification of the ownership of the vehicle etc. has been taken. In case, the aforesaid report/information is not

placed before this Court on the next date, this Court would consider calling for personal appearance of the I.O in the matter.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant