

WP(C) 6375/2015

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NELSON SAILO

Heard Ms. M D Bora, learned counsel, who appears on behalf of Mr. P N Goswami, the learned counsel for the writ petitioners as well as Ms. S Sarma, the learned Standing counsel, Health & Family Welfare Department. Also heard Ms. A Verma, the Standing counsel, Finance Department.

The controversy in the instant case as projected by the writ petitioners is the non-implementation of the recommendation of the Pay Anomaly Committee, 2010, wherein, the petitioners claim that they are to receive AICTE pay scales which are in fact not accorded to the Post Graduate teachers working in different Homeopathic Medical Colleges of the State. The petitioners contend that when such benefit has been given to the teachers of Allopathic and Ayurvedic Medical Colleges, there is no reason to deny the petitioners the revised pay scale.

Ms. A Verma, the learned Standing counsel submits that although the Finance Department had sought some clarifications about according sanction of the revised scale from the Health and Family Welfare Department, the reply that was given was without any justification and proper consideration of enhancement of the pay scale. Therefore, the Finance Department has sought further clarifications from the Health and Family Welfare Department which is still being awaited.

The learned Standing counsel for the Health and Family Welfare Department, Ms. S Sarma submits that she has received a communication dated 17.05.2017 from the Health Department by which it has been informed that the Health and Family Welfare Department is on the process and will be very soon submitting the full justification and clarifications to the Finance Department. She, therefore, submits that the Health Department be given 2 (two) weeks time to respond to the query of the Finance Department.

In view of the above submissions, the case be posted again on 05.06.2017

The learned counsel for the respondents shall intimate to the Court the status of the case on that date. The respondents may also file their additional affidavit-in-opposition, if so advised.