

GAHC010021222017



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/2532/2017

ABDUL MOTIN MONDAL
S/O- ASGAR ALI MONDAL, VILL- DHARMASALA PART-III, P.O, P.S-
DHARMASALA, DIST- DHUBRI, ASSAM, PIN- 783301

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM and 7 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT
OF ASSAM, EDUCATIONSECONDARY DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-
6

2:THE DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI-19

3:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
DHUBRI
DIST- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 783301

4:THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS
DHUBRI DISTRICT CIRCLE
DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 783301

5:THE SCHOOL MANAGEMENT and DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
OF HADURHAT DHARMASALA HS SCHOOL
DHARMASALA
DIST- DHUBRI

ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT PIN- 783301

6:SRI LALIT KUMAR ROY
PRESIDENT SMDC
OF HADURHAT DHARMASALA HS SCHOOL
DHARMASALA
P.O and P.S- DHARMASALA
DIST- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 783301

7:SANGITA MONDAL
VICE PRESIDENT
SMDC
HADURHAT DHARMASALA H S SCHOOL
DHARMASALA
P.O
P.S- DHARMASALA DISTRICT- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 783301

8:THE PRINCIPAL CUM MEMBER SECRETARY
SMDC
HADURHAT DHARMASALA H S SCHOOL
DHARMASALA
P.O
P.S- DHARMASALA
DIST- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN- 78330

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR.M U MONDAL, MDI H KHAN,MSN NASRIN

Advocate for the Respondent : MRS.S D SAIKIAR- 6and7, MR.H RAHMAN(R- 6&7),MR.R SARMA(R- 6&7),GA, ASSAM,SC, SECONDARY EDUCATION

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KAUSHIK GOSWAMI**

ORDER

03.11.2025

Heard Mr. M U Mondal, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. H Terongpi, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4.

None appears for the respondent Nos. 6 & 7, despite the names of the learned counsels being reflected in the cause list.

Mr. M U Mondal, learned counsel for the petitioner drawing the attention of the Court to the order dated 23.07.2025, submits that though fresh steps has been directed to be taken against the respondent No. 5, however the respondent No. 5 is none other than the School Management and Development Committee, which is represented by none other, but by the respondent No. 6 himself.

However, since the learned counsel for the respondent No. 6 is not present today, this Court is unable to confirm from the learned counsel as to whether he shall also appear on behalf of the respondent No. 5 or not.

In view of the above, relist on **07.11.2025**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant