

GAHC010134442025



2025:GAU-AS:10015

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : MC/32/2025

SHRI PRATAP BORAH
S/O LATE PURNA CHANDRA BORA, GENERAL SECRETARY BOKAJAN
CEMENT CORPORATION EMPLOYEES UNION RESIDENT OF BOARDING
ROAD, WARD No. 6, P.O. AND P.S. SIVASAGAR, DISTRICT SIVASAGAR
785640 ASSAM

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
MINISTRY OF HEAVY INDUSTRIES, NEW DELHI 110001

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT
JANATA BHAWAN
DISPUR
GUWAHATI 781006

3:MANAGEMENT OF BOKAJAN CEMENT FACTORY
A UNIT OF CEMENT CORPORATION OF INDIA LIMITED
REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL MANAGER
BOKAJAN DISTRICT KARBI ANGLONG 782490 ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S R A NASER, MR G ALAM,MR S AHMED,S K SARFRAJ
KARIM

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I., B SAHA,GA, ASSAM

Linked Case : WP(C)/4166/2016

PRATAP BORA
65 YRS.
S/O LT. PURNA CHANDRA BORA
GENERAL SECY.
BOKAJAN CEMENT CORPORATION EMPLOYEES UNION
BOKAJAN
DIST- KARBI ANGLONG
PIN-782490

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA and 2 ORS
REP. BY THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF HEAVY INDUSTRIES
NEW DELHI-1

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
LABOUR and EMPLOYMENT
DISPUR
GHY-6

3:MANAGEMENT OF BOKAJAN CEMENT FACTORY- A UNIT OF CEMENT
CORPORATION OF INDIA LTD.
BOKAJAN IN THE DISTRICT OF KARBI ANGLONG
ASSAM
PIN-782490
REP. BY ITS GENERAL MANAGER

Advocate for the applicant(s): Mr. NSA Naser

Advocate for the respondent(s): Mr. J Roy, Senior Advocate
Mr. Jayant Roy

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH

ORDER

01.08.2025

This is an application for restoration of the accompanying Writ Petition being WP(C)No.4166/2016 which was dismissed for default vide order dated 25.02.2025 by the learned Coordinate Bench of this Court.

2. Mr. J Roy, the learned senior counsel who appears on behalf of the respondents submits that the respondents have no objection if the writ petition is restored to file. However, the learned senior counsel submits that as the writ petition is of the year 2016, a date may be fixed for hearing of the matter at the earliest.

3. Taking into account the above, the order dated 25.02.2025 by which WP(C)No.4166/2016 was dismissed stands recalled.

4. Consequently, WP(C)No.4166/2016 is restored to the file of this Court.

5. Interlocutory application, accordingly, stands allowed and disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant