



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/552/2026

SIDDHARTHA MODAK
SON OF RAJAN KISHAN MODAK, RESIDENT OF MADAN DIGIRPAR
BILPAR, SILCHAR DISTRICT- CACHAR ASSAM- 788001.

VERSUS

SMALL BUSINESS FINECREDIT INDIA PVT. LTD.
UNIT NO 103, 1ST FLOOR, C AND B SQUARE, SANGRAM COMPLEX, CTC
NO. 95A, 127 ANDHERI KURLA ROAD, VILLAGE- CHAKALA ANDHERI
(EAST) MUMBARI- 400059.

For the petitioner(s)/ : Dr. Puja Agarwal, Advocate
appellant(s)
For the respondent(s) :

– BEFORE –
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. ASHUTOSH KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN DEV CHOUDHURY

12.02.2026

(Ashutosh Kumar, C.J.)

Though notice has been attempted to be served *dasti* on the respondent, but it appears that the respondent has refused to accept the same.

In that view of the matter, the notice would be deemed to have been served.

We close this writ petition only with a direction that no precipitate action shall be taken against the petitioner till 05.03.2026, whereafter the respondent(s) would be at liberty to proceed in the matter in accordance with law.

Writ petition stands disposed off.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

Comparing Assistant