

GAHC010019542026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/347/2026

SHRI DILIP HAZARIKA
SON OF LATE MITHA RAM HAZARIKA RESIDENT OF HOUSE NO. 5, BYE-
LANE NO. 5, PUB-SARANIA, CHANDMARI, GUWAHATI- 781003, P.S-
CHANDMARI, DISTRICT- KAMRUP METROPOLITAN, ASSAM.

VERSUS

DR JB EKKA
CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM, PANCHAYAT AND
RURAL DEVELOPMENT, TEA TRIBES ADIVASHI WELFARE, TOURISM
DEPARTMENT, , PANCHAYAT AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT, TEA TRIBES
ADIVASHI WELFARE, TOURISM DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GHY.

2:DR B KALYAN CHAKRAVARTHY
IAS
ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PANCHAYAT
RURAL DEVELOPMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI781006
IN THE AFORESAID CONTEMPT PETITION CIVIL NO. 272/202

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. R SARMA, MR. P P BARUAH

Advocate for the Respondent : MR S DUTTA,

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA**

ORDER

13.02.2026

Heard Mr. R. Sarma, learned counsel for the applicant.

2. This interlocutory application has been filed by the applicant seeking impleadment of opposite party No.2 in the accompanying contempt case being Cont.Cas(C) No.272/2025.

3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant that the opposite party No.2 is sought to be impleaded namely Dr. J.B. Ekka, Addl. Chief Secretary to the Government of Assam, Panchayat and Rural Development, Tea Tribes & Adivashi Welfare, Tourism Department, Office of the Additional Chief Secretary to the Government of Assam, Panchayat and Rural Development, Tea Tribes & Adivashi Welfare, Tourism Department, Janata Bhawan, Dispur, Guwahati-781006 and is responsible for carrying out the directions contained in the order dated 27.09.2024 passed in WP(C) No.1596/2019. In spite of the matter being brought to the notice, the directions of the Court has not been complied with therefore, the Interlocutory Application has been filed seeking impleadment.

3. On the request of the Court Mr. S. Dutta, learned counsel has submitted that the earlier respondent No.1 demitted his office even prior to service of notice therefore, the present opposite party No.2 is sought to be impleaded.

4. Considering the submissions made, this interlocutory application stands allowed, the opposite party No.2 is arrayed as respondent No.2 in the Cont.Cas(C) No.272/2025.

5. This interlocutory application stands disposed of at this stage without issuing notice as this order will not cause prejudice to the opposite party No.2 as in the contempt case the opposite party No.2 will have ample opportunity to file his response.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant