

GAHC010019192025



undefined

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : WP(C)/647/2025**

BARKHETRI TRINAMOL SIKHITA NIBONUA YUBA SAMAJ  
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY SRI HARMOHAN KAKATI, AGE ABOUT  
60 YEARS, , S/O- LATE KESHAB KAKATI, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE-  
SAPKATA, POST OFFICE- NALBARI, POLICE STATION -NALBARI  
DISTRICT-, ASSAM, PIN-781126

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 3 ORS.  
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE  
GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM, REVENUE AND DISASTER MANAGEMENT ,  
DEPARTMENT LAND SETTLEMENT BRANCH, DISPUR, GHY-781006

2:THE DY. COMMISSIONER  
NALBARI LAND SETTLEMENT BRANCH  
DISTRICT. NALBARI  
ASSAM  
PIN- 781335.

3:THE ADDL. DY. COMMISSIONER  
REVENUE  
NALBARI  
DISTRICT- NALBARI  
ASSAM  
PIN- 781335.

4:THE CIRCLE OFFICER  
BARKHETRI REVENUE CIRCLE  
NARAYANPUR  
MUKALMUA

DISTRICT- NALBARI

ASSAM

PIN- 781126

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. K N CHOUDHURY, MR. TANUZ KASHYAP, N GAUTAM, MS N MAHANTA, MISS. R R KAKATI

**Advocate for the Respondent** : SC, REVENUE, GA, ASSAM

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI**

**ORDER**

**16.03.2026**

Heard Shri K.N. Choudhury, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Ms. R.R. Kakati, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. N. Bordoloi, learned Standing Counsel, Revenue Department, Assam.

Shri Choudhury, learned Senior Counsel has informed that while the aspect of de-reservation appears to have been rejected so far as the allotment of the land to the petitioner is concerned, there are noticeable developments that the said plot of land has been allotted to some political persons.

On the other hand, Ms. Bordoloi, learned Standing Counsel has placed before this Court written instructions dated 16.03.2026 as per which, the aspect of de-reservation has not been accepted in view of the categorical direction of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Jagpal Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab & Ors.***, reported in **(2011) 11 SCC 396**.

Let the allegations presently levelled by the petitioner be put on record by way of an additional-affidavit so as to enable the respondents to file their response upon which, appropriate orders would be passed.

The order of *status quo* passed earlier stands extended till the next date of listing.

List this case on 30.03.2026 by which time, the additional-affidavit is required to be filed.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**