

GAHC010256972014



2026:GAU-AS:2741

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/4780/2015

JUGAL KUMAR NATH
S/O SRI GIRISH CHANDRA NATH R/O VILL- BATARHAT DAHALI
P.O. BATARHAT
P.S. PALASHBARI
DIST. KAMRUP
ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
FOREST DEPARTMENT
ASSAM SACHIVALAYA
DISPUR
GUWAHATI - 781006.

2:THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM

ENVIRONMENT AND FOREST DEPARTMENT
ASSAM SACHIVALAYA
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 781006.

3:THE PRINCIPAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS

AND HEAD OF FOREST FORCE
ASSAM
REHABARI
GUWAHATI- 781008.

4:THE CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS

CENTRAL ASSAM

PANBAZAR
GUWAHATI- 781001.

5:THE CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS

CENTRAL ASSAM CIRCLE
PANBAZAR
GUWAHATI- 781001.

6:THE DIVISIONAL FORESTS OFFICER

KAMRUP WEST DIVISION
BAMUNIGAON
DIST. KAMRUP
ASSAM
PIN - 781141.

For the Petitioner(s) : Ms. D. Angna, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. I. Borthakur, Standing Counsel

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH

ORDER

Date : 23.02.2026

Heard Ms. D. Angna, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner. Mr. I. Borthakur, the learned Standing Counsel appears on behalf of the Forest Department.

2. The petitioner herein has assailed the order dated 03.08.2015 whereby the mining contract awarded to the petitioner vide the Letter of Intent dated 25.04.2014 for a period of 7 (seven) years was terminated and the petitioner was directed to pay the outstanding amount along with interest as mentioned in the said order.

3. This Court has duly perused the materials on record and finds nothing which should show that the impugned order dated 03.08.2015 was passed without affording the petitioner an opportunity to submit the kist money within time. Apart from that, the petitioner has failed to produce any document to substantiate that there is any perversity in the said decision.

4. Considering the above, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the impugned order dated 03.08.2015 in exercise of its powers of judicial review, for which, the instant writ petition stands dismissed.

5. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant