

GAHC010018792026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/777/2026

SRI PRADIP KALITA
SON OF SANTI RAM KALITA, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE- PANITAMA,
DISTRICT- KAMRUP, PIN- 781101

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 2 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION DISPUR GUWAHATI -781006

2:THE DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI- 781019

3:THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS
KAMRUP DISTRICT CIRCLE
KAMRU

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. P BORAH, MS A M DCOSTA,P LHAMU,K BHARALI,MS M
HAZARIKA,MR. S HAZARIKA

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, SEC. EDU.,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE

ORDER

Date : 13.02.2026

Heard Mr. S. Hazarika, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Aggrieved by the order dated 10.12.2025 passed by the Inspector of School, KDC, Kamrup, Amingaon, whereby, one Sri Pradip Chandra Deka, Assistant Teacher of Hazo, S.B.S.K.R. H.S School, is allowed to look after the academic matters of the school in addition to his normal duty on purely temporary basis.

It is the claim of the petitioner that the petitioner is the senior most Assistant Teacher of S.B.S.K.R. H.S School and who infact, was allowed to look after the academic matters by an order dated 19.02.2024. The person who has been allowed to look after the academic matters of the school, is junior to the petitioner.

Considering that the matter pertains to allowing of the teacher to look after the academic matters on temporary basis, Ms. D. Mushahary, learned Standing Counsel, Secondary Education Department is directed to obtain instructions as to why the order allowing the petitioner to look after the academic charges has been withdrawn and the same is allowed to one Pradip Chandra Deka, who appears to be junior to the petitioner.

List after 2 (two) weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant