

GAHC010018252025



undefined

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/61/2025**

IKBAL HUSSAIN LASKAR  
S/O-KABIR UDDIN LASKAR,  
RESIDENT OF VILLAGE AND P. O. -KANAKPUR,  
DISTRICT- CACHAR, ASSAM

VERSUS

GYANENDRA DEV TRIPATHI AND ANR  
THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, ASSAM STATE DISASTER  
MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY, OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,  
REVENUE AND DISASTER MANAGEMENT TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,  
REVENUE AND DISASTER MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,  
DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6.

2:ALAKANANDA MEDHI  
THE STATE PROJECT CO-ORDINATOR

ANCILLARY BLOCK-I  
(OPP. SBI SECTT. BRANCH)

JANATA BHAWAN  
DISPUR  
GUWAHATI-

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MD. A J ATIA, MS J MARIYAM,MS A H ATIA

**Advocate for the Respondent** : MR. D NATH, SR. GA, ASSAM

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA**

**ORDER**

**20.08.2025**

Heard Mr. A.J. Atia, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. D. Nath, learned counsel for the respondents.

This contempt petition has been filed alleging willful disobedience of the directions contained in the order dated 10.09.2024 passed in WP(C)/3/2019 (Ikbal Hussain Laskar vs. The State of Assam and 2 Ors).

Mr. D. Nath, learned counsel, entered appearance at the request of this Court, which required him to obtain complete instructions on behalf of respondent No. 1.

Mr. Nath has placed before the Court an order dated 30.04.2025 passed in I.A.(Civil)/1331/2025, wherein it is seen that notices have been issued, returnable within four weeks. The said interlocutory application, as submitted by the learned counsel, seeks condonation of delay in preferring the accompanying appeal.

Mr. Atia, on the other hand, is also aware of the proceedings pending before the Division Bench, as he has been arrayed as an opposite party in the said interlocutory application. He however submits that the directions contained in the order dated 10.09.2024 passed in WP(C)/3/2019 have not yet been complied with.

In terms of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court rendered in *State of Punjab v. Joginder Singh* reported in (1994) 3 SCC 176, when an appeal is preferred, contempt petitions are generally required to be kept in abeyance, even in the absence of any specific order of stay passed by the appellate Court.

Under such circumstances, the matter is directed to be listed again after four weeks.

The learned counsels are at liberty to apprise the Court regarding the status of the interlocutory application as well as the appeal, if the same are disposed of in the meantime.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**