

GAHC010016512015



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Crl.Rev.P./224/2015

M/S DUTTA ELECTRICALS and ANR.
C/O PRINCE COMMERCIAL COMPLEX, ASHRAM ROAD, ULUBARI,
GUWAHATI-7, DIST. KAMRUP M, ASSAM.

2: SRI BIPLAB DUTTA
S/O LT. BINOY BHUSAN DUTTA R/O BORTHAKUR MILL ROAD
ULUBARI
GUWAHATI-7
DIST. KAMRUP M
ASSAM

VERSUS

M/S ASSAM SUPPLY SYNDICATE
HAVING ITS OFFICE AT CHATTRIBARI KRISHNA NAGAR, GHY-1, DIST.
KAMRUP M, ASSAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR SRI RAMAVATAR
JALAN.

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS.S BARUA

Advocate for the Respondent :

Linked Case : Crl.M.C./294/2015

M/S DUTTA ELECTRICALS and ANR
C/O PRINCE COMMERCIAL COMPLEX
ASHRAM ROAD
ULUBARI

GHY-7
DIST. KAMRUP M
ASSAM.

2: SRI BIPLAB DUTTA
S/O LT. BINOY BHUSAN DUTTA R/O BORTHAKUR MILL ROAD
ULUBARI
GUWAHATY-7
DIST. KAMRUP M
ASSAM.
VERSUS

M/S ASSAM SUPPLY SYNDICATE
HAVING ITS OFFICE AT CHATTRIBARI KRISHNA NAGAR
GHY-1
DIST. KAMRUP M
ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR SRI RAMAVATAR JALAN.

Advocate for : MR.R KALITA
Advocate for : appearing for M/S ASSAM SUPPLY SYNDICATE

Linked Case : I.A.(CrI.)/337/2018

M/S DUTTA ELECTRICALS AND ANR
C/O- PRINCE COMMERCIAL COMPLEX
ASHRAM ROAD
ULUBARI
GHY-7
DIST- KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM

2: BIPLAB DUTTA
S/O- LT BINOY BHUSAN DUTTA
R/O- BORTHAKUR MILL ROAD
ULUBARI
GHY-7
DIST- KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM
VERSUS

M/S ASSAM SUPPLY SYNDICATE
HAVING ITS OFFICE AT CHATTRIBARI KRISHNA NAGAR
GHY-1

DIST- KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM

REP. BY ITS PROPRIETOR SRI RAMAVATAR JALAN

Advocate for : MRS J M KONWAR
Advocate for : MR. K BHATTACHARJEE appearing for M/S ASSAM SUPPLY
SYNDICATE

Linked Case : I.A.(Crl.)/539/2016

M/S DUTTA ELECTRICALS and ANR.

VERSUS

M/S ASSAM SUPPLY SYNDICATE

Advocate for : MRS.J M KONWAR
Advocate for : appearing for M/S ASSAM SUPPLY SYNDICATE

B E F O R E

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUSMITA PHUKAN KHAUND

10.11.2022

Both sides are duly represented.

The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has prayed for adjournment as his mother has passed away about three days back.

Mr. K. Bhattacharjee, learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that since, 2018 several adjournments have been taken by the petitioner on various pretexts. Today, the petitioner came up with another ground.

I have heard both sides.

I have considered the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner, the prayer of adjournment is allowed. On the next date, no further adjournment will be allowed.

The learned counsel for the respondent has relied upon in the case of *Santhosh J. Versus V. Narasimha Murthy* reported in 2022 LiveLaw (SC) 874 in Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s) 119/2022 dated 18.10.2022, wherein, the heavy cost of Rs. 5 lakhs was imposed.

List the matter on 01.12.2022.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant