

WP(C) 1673/2017
BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE L.S. JAMIR

Heard Mr. B. Sarma learned counsel for the petitioner. In this writ petition the communication dated 23.02.2017 is put under challenge on the ground that the termination order of the petitioner which was issued under Clause 9 of the terms of Contract is stigmatic in nature whereas Clause 9 of the terms and conditions provides for a termination simplicitor.

At this stage, Mr. J.M.M. Choudhury, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent No. 2 submits that they have received a representation made by the petitioner just recently and the respondent intends to dispose of the said representation within a short time. In that view of the matter list this case again on 31.03.2017 to enable the respondent to dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner. Till the next date it is directed that the impugned communication dated 23.02.2017 issued by the Mission Director, NRHM, Assam shall remain suspended.

Furnish a copy of the order to Mr. J.M.M. Choudhury, learned Standing Counsel, NRHM.