

GAHC010015132015



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3325/2015

AMBIKA ROY
S/O MONORANJAN ROY, R/O ELEGANT ENCLAVE, PRAGATI PATH,
CHANDAN NAGAR, GHORAMA, GHY-28

VERSUS

THE ALLAHABAD BANK and 2 ORS.
A GOVT. OF INDIA UNDERTAKING, HAVING ITS HEAD OFFICE AT NO.2,
N.S. RAOD, KOLKATA-01, WEST BENGAL, REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN GUM
MANAGING DIRECTOR

2:THE GENERAL MANAGER HR APPELLATE AUTHORITY
ALLAHABAD BANK
ZONAL OFFICE
G.S. ROAD
ULUBARI
GHY-

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR.B D KONWAR

Advocate for the Respondent : MR.M PHUKANR-1 and 2

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOUMITRA SAIKIA**

ORDER

31.07.2023

Heard Mr. B.D. Konwar, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. D. Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. S. Chamaria, learned counsel appearing for the Bank submits that the records are not available in Court today.

Since this writ petition has challenged the enquiry proceedings initiated against the petitioner as well as the enquiry report and the order of the disciplinary authority removing him for service without disqualification from future employment as well as dismissal of the appeal preferred by the petitioner on the ground of delay, considering that the fact that the enquiry report which is available on record does not disclose the evidences which are relied upon by the enquiry Officer in coming to the conclusions that the charges against the petitioner have been held to be proved although the enquiry report reflects that all the charges are proved, this Court is of the view that the relevant records pertaining to the enquiry will be necessary for perusal of the Court.

Accordingly, let the matter be listed again on 03.08.2023 enabling the learned counsel for the Bank to produce the relevant record.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant