

GAHC010012792021



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/445/2021

PRADIP SENAPATI AND 2 ORS
S/O. LT. SIDDHI RAM SENAPATI, VILL. DOLIBARI, P.O. DADARA, P.S. HAJO,
DIST. KAMRUP (ASSAM) PIN-781104.

2: PRANITA KALITA
W/O. SRI PRADIP SENAPATI
VILL. DOLIBARI
P.O. DADARA
P.S. HAJO
DIST. KAMRUP (ASSAM) PIN-781104.

3: GHANYASHYAM SENAPATI
S/O. LT. SIDDHI RAM SENAPATI
VILL. DOLIBARI
P.O. DADARA
P.S. HAJO
DIST. KAMRUP (ASSAM) PIN-781104

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND 2 ORS
HAVING ITS CORPORATE OFFICE AT MUMBAI AND ITS BRANCH OFFICES
IN THE STATE OF ASSAM INCLUDING SBI GUWAHATI BRANCH, REP. BY
STREESSED ASSETS RECOVERY BRANCH (SARB) M.R.D. ROAD,
BAMUNIMAIDAN, GUWAHATI-781021.

2:ASSET RECONSTRUCTION COMPANY (INDIA LTD. (ARCIL)
HAVING ITS REGD. OFFICE AT THE RUBY
10TH FLOOR
29
SENAPATI BAPAT MARG (W)
DADAR WEST
MUMBAI
PIN-400028.

3:ARMS
A DIVISION OF ASSET RECONSTRUCTION COMPANY (INDIA) LTD.
(ARCIL) 563
SOOD VILLA
SECOND FLOOR
BEHIND ICICI BANK
CHRISTIAN BASTI
G.S. ROAD
GUWAHATI
PIN-781005

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. P P DAS, MR J BARUA,MR B DEKA,MR. R AHMED

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

BEFORE
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDL.) MR. CHINMOY BARUAH

ORDER

Date : 17.06.2025

Case taken up before the Lawazima Court.

None appears on behalf of the petitioners.

Perused the records.

The instant matter has been carefully perused and upon such perusal, it has been observed that vide the earlier Lawazima Court's order dated 03.01.2023, the petitioners were directed to take fresh steps for service of notice upon the respondent No. 3, within one week of the said order.

Thereafter, when the instant matter was listed again before the Lawazima Court on 22.05.2025, the petitioners were further granted one week time as a final opportunity to comply with the direction of the aforementioned Lawazima Court dated 03.01.2023.

However, perusal of the recent office note dated 17.06.2025 reveals that the petitioners

have not yet taken fresh steps for issuance of notice to the aforesaid respondent No. 3, despite being repeatedly directed to that effect.

In view of the observations made above, it may be discerned that the petitioners may not be interested to pursue the instant case.

Accordingly, the matter is laid before the Hon'ble Court.

Joint Registrar (Judicial)

Comparing Assistant