

GAHC010011752026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/224/2026

JAMAT ALI ALIAS JANAT ALI
SON OF MONUHAR ALLI RESIDENT OF VILL-BHERGAON, P.O-SIRAJUL P.S-
DHEKIAJULI, DIST- SONITPUR, ASSAM.

VERSUS

STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. I A TALUKDAR, MR. T HUSSAIN, MR. B HUSSAIN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

17.02.2026

Heard Mr. I.A. Talukdar, learned counsel for the accused/ applicant. Also heard Mr. K.K. Parasar, learned APP for the State.

2. This is an application filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), seeking grant of pre-arrest bail in favour of the accused/applicant, namely, Jamat Ali @ Janat Ali, in connection with Dhekiajuli P.S. Case No. 271/2025, corresponding to G.R. Case No. 1499/2025, registered under Section 108 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS).

3. In the FIR dated 13.10.2025, it is alleged that the minor daughter of the informant became involved in a love affair with the accused/applicant, and that the accused/applicant subsequently took certain obscene photographs of her, which were later made public.
4. The learned counsel for the accused/applicant submits that the accused/applicant is a 19-year-old male. It is further submitted that vide an order dated 05.02.2026, a coordinate Bench of this Court has already granted interim bail to the accused/applicant. In terms of the said interim bail, the accused/applicant has already appeared before the Investigating Officer and is willing to fully cooperate with the ongoing investigation of the case.
5. In view of the foregoing, the learned counsel submits that the interim order passed earlier should be made absolute.
6. The learned APP, on the other hand, opposed the grant of bail at this stage, submitting that there is certain incriminating material against the accused/applicant indicating his involvement in the alleged offence.
7. Taking into account the materials on record and after hearing the submissions of the learned counsel for both parties, this Court is of the considered opinion that the interim order passed earlier on 05.02.2026 deserves to be made absolute. Accordingly, the interim order dated 05.02.2026 is hereby made absolute on the same terms and conditions as stipulated therein.
8. In view of the above, the instant application for anticipatory bail is disposed of as allowed.

JUDGE