

GAHC010007152021



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/229/2021

AKBARUN NESSA
W/O- LT. ATAUR RAHMAN MAZUMDAR, R/O- VILL- BADRIPAR, PART-V,
P.O. BADRIPAR, SILCHAR, DIST.- CACHAR, ASSAM, PIN-

VERSUS

THE ASSAM STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD AND 6 ORS
REP. BY ITS CHAIRMAN, BIJULI BHAWAN, PALTAN BAZAR, GHY-01

2:THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER (PERSONNEL)
ASEB
BIJULI BHAWAN
PALTAN BAZAR
GHY-01

3:THE CHIEF ACCOUNTS OFFICER
ASEB
BIJULI BHAWAN
PALTAN BAZAR
GHY-01

4:THE GENERAL MANAGER
ASSAM POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD.
BIJULI BHAWAN
PALTAN BAZAR
GHY-01

5:THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

SOUTH REC CIRCLE
ASEB
HAILAKANDI
P.O. AND DIST.- HAILAKANDI
ASSAM
PIN- 788155

6:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HAILAKANDI ELECTRICITY DIVISION
ASEB
HAILAKANDI
P.O. AND DIST.- HAILAKANDI
ASSAM
PIN- 788155

7:ADDITIONAL CENTRAL P.F. COMMISSIONER
N.E. REGION
BHANGAGARH
GUWAHATI-78100

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. JUNM LASKAR, MS. A AHMED

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, APDCL, MS. M DUTTA (R7),MRS. A CHAKRABORTY (R7),MR. S K CHAKRABORTY (R7),MR. P K ROY (R7)

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR
ORDER

04/06/2026

None appears for the petitioner on call.

2. Heard Mr. P K Roy, learned Senior counsel assisted by Ms. A Chakraborty, learned counsel for the respondent No. 7.

3. The petitioner in the present writ petition has prayed for a revision of the pension received by her from the Employees' Provident Fund Authorities.

4. Mr. Roy, learned Senior counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was initially authorised a pension of Rs.708/- (Rupees Seven Hundred Eight) per month, which was, thereafter, revised to Rs.83,164/- (Rupees Eighty Three Thousand One Hundred Sixty Four). He submits that in pursuance to the Employees' Pension (Second Amendment) Scheme, 2014, the family pension received by the petitioner was enhanced to Rs.1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand), w.e.f. September, 2014 and the amount was so credited in her account, w.e.f. October, 2014 and the same is being continued to be paid to the petitioner. He submits that there being no further enhancement made in the pension to be paid to such category of persons, after the amendment effected in the scheme in the year 2014, the respondent No. 7 is not in a position to enhance the pension of the petitioner. However, he submits that as and when, a further enhancement would be made effective, the said benefit would be authorized to the petitioner and credited to her account.

5. In view of the above position, this Court is of the considered view that the enhancement of the pension would depend upon the competent authority, enhancing the pension from time to time and the present enhancement, being only to the extent of Rs.1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand), in respect of the petitioner, the petitioner at this stage cannot claim any further amount as pension. However, it is directed that in the event of any enhancement occasioning in future with regard to the pension received by the petitioner, the respondent authorities shall authorise the same to the petitioner and credit the amount involved in her bank account.

6. With the above observations and directions, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant