

GAHC010006972026



2026:GAU-AS:2914

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/609/2026

M/S B. K. TRADERS
REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR SRI BIJOY KUMAR SINGH, S/O LATE
BINDA SINGH, RESIDENT OF DAKURBHITA, GOALPARA, P.O. AND P.S.-
GOALPARA, DIST.- GOALPARA, ASSAM. PIN- 783101.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 6 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
OF ASSAM, HOME DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, GUWAHATI, PIN-781006.

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI
PIN-781006.

3:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
ASSAM POLICE HEAD QUARTER
ULUBARI
P.O.-ULUBARI
GUWAHATI-781007
DIST. KAMRUP METRO
ASSAM.

4:THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
ASSAM POLICE HEAD QUARTER
ULUBARI
P.O.-ULUBARI
GUWAHATI- 781007
DIST. KAMRUP METRO
ASSAM.

5:THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

(M.P.C.) ASSAM- POLICE HEAD QUARTERS
ULUBARI
P.O.-ULUBARI
GUAHATI-781007
DIST. KAMRUP METRO
ASSAM.

6:THE ASSISTANT INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE (A)
ASSAM
P.O.-ULUBARI
GUWAHATI-781007.

7:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
GOALPARA
P.O.-GOALPARA
DIST.-GOALPARA
ASSAM
PIN-783121

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S UPADHAYA, M SHARMA,A SARMA

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC, FINANCE

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY

ORDER

Date : 25.02.2026

Heard Mr. M. Sharma, learned counsel for the petitioner; Mr. M. Chetia, learned Junior Government Advocate, Assam for the respondent nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7; and Mr. M. Bhuyan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. B. Gogoi, learned Standing Counsel, Finance Department for the respondent no. 2.

2. As the learned counsel for the respondents have submitted that they have received the instructions necessary for disposal of the writ petition, notice is issued making it returnable forthwith.

3. The petitioner has stated that he is the owner and proprietor of M/s B.K. Traders, Goalpara and he is a registered contractor under the Assam Police Headquarters, Government

of Assam vide Registration no. S/III-RC/GR-B/2019/VOL-I/62 [422] dated 19.02.2021.

4. The petitioner has stated that in the course of his business, he had supplied various items/articles to the Respondent no. 7, such as, stationary items, electrical items, computer items like – cartridge, Xerox toner, etc. on the basis of supply orders from time to time during the period from 2021 to 2023. After supplying the items/articles on the basis of the supply orders, he submitted the bills regularly by following the requisite formalities. The respondent no. 7 as the DDO had also issued genuineness certificates from time to time and the petitioner has annexed those genuineness certificates to the writ petition.

5. It is the further case of the petitioner that though as against the final bills the petitioner had received part-payments, the petitioner has not received the following amount till date :-

Sl. No.	Government Sanction no. and Date	Sanctioned Amount	Petitioner's Pending Bill Amount
1	No. FS/POL_2022-2023 [I]_4088 dated 26.02.2023	Rs. 4,71,722/-	Rs. 4,71,722/-
2	No. FS/POL_2022-23[I]_4087 dated 26.02.2023	Rs. 2,61,788/-	Rs. 2,50,349/-
3	No. FS/POL_2022-23[I]_4090 dated 26.02.2023	Rs. 3,28,616/-	Rs. 3,28,616/-
4	No. FS/POL_2022-23[I]_4409 dated 06.03.2023	Rs. 4,53,367/-	Rs. 4,53,367/-
	Total =	Rs. 15,15,493/-	Rs. 15,04,054/-

6. As despite elapse of sufficient period of time, the respondent authorities have not disbursed the afore-stated outstanding dues against the supply orders, the petitioner is before this Court seeking inter alia a direction to the respondent authorities to disburse the said amount forthwith.

7. Mr. Chetia, learned Junior Government Advocate, Assam has placed an Office Letter bearing Memo no. GLP/Acctt./2026/528 dated 21/02/2026 received by him from the respondent no. 7. As per the instructions received by him, the respondent no. 7 has admitted that the petitioner had supplied various items/articles, mentioned in the writ petition, and again such supplies of items/articles, certificates were also issued by the respondent no. 7. It is further mentioned that the bills submitted by the petitioner against the supply orders were duly sent to the competent authority for necessary sanction. Though the bills have been financially sanctioned but due to non-allocation of fund/ceiling, the amount could not be transferred to the petitioner. It is further mentioned that the bills were submitted by the petitioner against the supply order after observing all the necessary formalities.

8. From the instruction placed by the respondent no. 7 before this Court, it is clear that there is an admission of liability of outstanding dues of Rs. 15,04,054/-, as claimed in the writ petition.

9. In view of such obtaining fact situation, this Court is of the considered view that instead of keeping this writ petition pending, the same can be disposed of with a direction to the respondent authorities, more particularly, the respondent no. 3 to examine the claim of the petitioner with verifiable records and if after such verification, the amount of Rs. 15,04,054/- or any other amount is found to be payable to the petitioner then the respondent no. 3 shall complete the entire process for disbursement of the entitled amount to the petitioner within a period of 4 [four] weeks from the date of submission of a copy of this order at the offices of the respondent no. 3 and the respondent no. 7. It is disposed of accordingly.

10. This order disposes of the writ petition.

11. The instructions placed by Mr. Chetia vide Memo no. GLP/Acctt./2026/528 dated 21/02/2026 is kept with the case record by marking it as Document 'A'.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant