

GAHC010005352026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/270/2026

NAYAN KUMAR SAHA AND 2 ORS
LATE SOVA RANI SAHA ALIAS SHOVARANI SAHA, RESIDENT OF WARD
NO. 2, RANGAPARA NAGAR, RANGAPARA, P.S. RANGAPARA, DISTRICT
SONITPUR, PIN-784505, ASSAM.

2: CHAYAN KUMAR SAHA
LATE SOVA RANI SAHA ALIAS SHOVARANI SAHA
RESIDENT OF WARD NO. 2
RANGAPARA NAGAR
RANGAPARA
P.S. RANGAPARA
DISTRICT SONITPUR
PIN-784505
ASSAM

3: SARASWATI SAHA
DAUGHTER OF LATE NAGENDRA NATH SAHA AND LATE SOVA RANI
SAHA ALIAS SHOVARANI SAHA
RESIDENT OF WARD NO. 2
RANGAPARA NAGAR
RANGAPARA
P.S. RANGAPARA
DISTRICT SONITPUR
PIN-784505
ASSAM

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE GENERAL MANAGER, NORTH EAST FRONTIER
RAILWAY, MALIGAON, GUWAHATI, ASSAM-781011

2: THE FINANCIAL ADVISOR AND CAO PENSION
N.F. RAILWAY

MALIGAON
GUWAHATI
ASSAM-781011.

3:THE ACCOUNTS OFFICER
SENIOR DIVISIONAL FINANCE MANAGER
ALIPURDUAR JUNCTION
N.F. RAILWAY
P.O. ALIPURDUAR
WEST BENGAL - 736132.

4:THE SENIOR MANAGER UCO BANK
CENTRAL PENSION PROCESSING CENTRE 2209
SOMALWARBHAWAN
1ST FLOOR MOUNT ROAD SADAR
NAGPUR-440 001

5:THE BRANCH MANAGER UCO BANK
RANGAPARA BRANCH 0422
P.O. RANGAPARA
DISTRICT SONITPUR
ASSAM -78450

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS. K L R YANTHAN, MS. J GHOSH

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, NF RLY, SC. UCO BANK

BEFORE
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (BENCH) - MR. SAPTARSHI DAS

ORDER

Date : 08.06.2026

Case taken up before the Lawazima Court.

Learned counsel Ms. K.L.R. Yanthan appears on behalf of the petitioners. Heard.

Perused the records.

A perusal of the recent office note dated 05.06.2026 reveals that notices were issued to the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 by way of speed post with A/D mode on 23.02.2026.

Now, regarding the aforementioned notices, this Lawazima Court observes as follows:—

(i) In respect of the notice issued to the respondent No. 5, it appears that the A/D card has been received bearing the purported signature of the respondent. As such, it may be said

that the **service of notice upon respondent No. 5 has been completed.**

(ii) Unlike the respondent No. 5, it appears that neither the A/D cards nor any unserved notices have been received in respect of the notices issued to the respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

However, the postal track consignment reports for the notice sent via speed Post have been procured and annexed to the case record at **Flag-'TC'**. These tracking reports reflect that the notices issued to the respondent Nos. 1, 2, 3 & 4 were delivered to the addressee on the below mentioned dates:—

On 11.03.2026:— Notices were delivered to the respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

On 19.03.2026:— Notice was delivered to the respondent No. 3.

On 13.03.2026:— Notice was delivered to the respondent No. 4.

In view of the observations made above, it may be presumed that **service of notice upon respondent Nos. 1, 2, 3 & 4 has been completed.**

Pursuant to the above, the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court.

Deputy Registrar (Bench)

Comparing Assistant