



GAHC010003202020



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/41/2020
In WP(C) No. 5395/2018

KANAN PRAVA SAHA AND 6 ORS
W/O LATE SWADESH RANJAN SAHA, R/O HOUSE NO. 48, ATHGAON,
PUKHURIPAR, S.C. BYE LANE III, GUWAHATI 781001, DIST. KAMRUP (M),
ASSAM.

2: GAUTAM KUMAR SAHA
S/O LATE SWADESH RANJAN SAHA
R/O HOUSE NO. 48
ATHGAON
PUKHURIPAR
S.C. BYE LANE III
GUWAHATI 781001
DIST. KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM.

3: UTTAM KUMAR SAHA
S/O LATE SWADESH RANJAN SAHA
R/O HOUSE NO. 48
ATHGAON
PUKHURIPAR
S.C. BYE LANE III
GUWAHATI 781001
DIST. KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM.

4: SATTAM KUMAR SAHA
S/O LATE SWADESH RANJAN SAHA
R/O HOUSE NO. 48
ATHGAON
PUKHURIPAR
S.C. BYE LANE III
GUWAHATI 781001
DIST. KAMRUP (M)



ASSAM.

5: MADHURI SAHA
W/O SRI GAUTAM KUMAR SAHA
R/O HOUSE NO. 48
ATHGAON
PUKHURIPAR
S.C. BYE LANE III
GUWAHATI 781001
DIST. KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM.

6: DEEPA SAHA
W/O SRI UTTAM KUMAR SAHA
R/O HOUSE NO. 48
ATHGAON
PUKHURIPAR
S.C. BYE LANE III
GUWAHATI 781001
DIST. KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM.

7: SWAPNA SAHA
W/O SRI SATTAM KUMAR SAHA
R/O HOUSE NO. 48
ATHGAON
PUKHURIPAR
S.C. BYE LANE III
GUWAHATI 781001
DIST. KAMRUP (M)
ASSAM

VERSUS

CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA AND ANR
DISPUR CHARIALI BRANCH AT GANESHGURI, KAHILIPARA ROAD,
GUWAHATI, ASSAM, PIN 781006

2: THE AUTHORIZED OFFICER
CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA
DISPUR CHARIALI BRANCH AT GANESHGURI
KAHILIPARA ROAD
GUWAHATI
ASSAM
PIN 78100

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. O P BHATI



Advocate for the Respondent : MR. M SARMA

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI**

ORDER

09-12-2020

Heard Shri T. C. Das, learned counsel for the applicants who have filed this application seeking impleadment as party respondent in WP(C) No. 5395/2018.

Shri Das, the learned counsel has submitted that the applicants are the auction purchasers and they are vitally interested with the present dispute.

Shri M. Sarma, learned counsel for the petitioner/Central Bank of India does not oppose the present application.

Taking into consideration the status of the present applicants, this Court is of the opinion that the applicants are necessary parties. Accordingly, they are impleaded as respondent Nos. 6 to 12.

The Interlocutory application is accordingly allowed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant