

GAHC010063512018



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil) 1072/2018

1:SRI DUL SAIKIA
S/O LATE MOHAN SAIKIA, VILL. SOOTEA BORACHUK, P.O. AND P.S.
SOOTEA, DIST. SONITPUR, ASSAM PIN-

VERSUS

1:THE NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. LTD and 2 ORS
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT 24 WHITS ROAD, CHENNAI-600014
AND ONE OF THE REGIONAL OFFICE AT G.S. ROAD, GUWAHATI-5,
REPRESENTED BY CHIEF REGIONAL MANAGER

2:THE NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. LTD.
TEZPUR BRANCH
P.O. AND P.S. TEZPUR
DIST. SONITPUR
ASSAM.

3:SRI BABU SAIKIA
S/O SRI TILAK SAIKIA
VILL. SOOTEA BORACHUK
P.O. AND P.S. SOOTEA
DIST. SONITPUR
ASSAM
PIN

4:SRI SACHIN BORAH
S/O SRI JOYRAM BORAH
VILL. SOOTEA BORACHUK
P.O. AND P.S. SOOTEA
DIST. SONITPUR
ASSAM
PIN (DRIVER OF VEHICLE NO. AS-12-B-9155

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. R K TALUKDAR

Advocate for the Respondent : MR SISHIR DUTTA (R1,R2)

In MACApp. 210/2018

1:SRI DUL SAIKIA
S/O LATE MOHAN SAIKIA
VILL. SOOTEA BORACHUK
P.O. AND P.S. SOOTEA
DIST. SONITPUR
ASSAM PIN-

VERSUS

1:THE NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. LTD and 2 ORS
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT 24 WHITS ROAD
CHENNAI-600014 AND ONE OF THE REGIONAL OFFICE AT G.S. ROAD
GUWAHATI-5
REPRESENTED BY CHIEF REGIONAL MANAGER

2:THE NEW INDIA ASSURANCE CO. LTD.
TEZPUR BRANCH
P.O. AND P.S. TEZPUR
DIST. SONITPUR
ASSAM.

3:SRI BABU SAIKIA
S/O SRI TILAK SAIKIA
VILL. SOOTEA BORACHUK
P.O. AND P.S. SOOTEA
DIST. SONITPUR
ASSAM
PIN

4:SRI SACHIN BORAH
S/O SRI JOYRAM BORAH
VILL. SOOTEA BORACHUK
P.O. AND P.S. SOOTEA
DIST. SONITPUR
ASSAM

PIN (DRIVER OF VEHICLE NO. AS-12-B-9155)

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. R K TALUKDAR

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. R S MISHRA (R3)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA**

ORDER

Date : 05-08-2019

Heard Mr. H.J. Tamuli, learned counsel for the applicant, who submits that there is a delay of 252 days in filing the appeal against the judgment dated 22.12.2016 passed by the learned Member, MACT, Sonitpur, Tezpur in M.A.C. Case No. 54/2013(I).

Ms. M. Borchetia, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. S. Dutta, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Mr. R.S. Mishra, learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 3 submit that they have got no objection to the delay being condoned.

On perusing the grounds taken for condoning the delay and keeping in view the submissions made by the counsel for the respondents, the delay of 252 days is condoned.

I.A. (Civil) is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant