

GAHC010191832025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/52/2026

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
HOME DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 6

2: THE DEPUTY GENERAL GENERAL OF POLICE (CR)
DIPHU
KARBI ANGLONG

3: THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
GOLAGHAT
ASSAM

4: THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
JORHAT
ASSAM

5: THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
DIBRUGARH
ASSAM

6: THE COMMANDANT
1ST A.P. BN
LIGIRIPUKHURI
NAZIRA
SIBSAGAR ASSAM
VERSUS

ARUN KONWAR AND ANR
S/O SURENDRA KONWAR

R/O BANGMURIA
KONWAR GAON
P.S. SIMALUGURI
DIST. SIBSAGAR
ASSAM.

2:ACHYUT HATIMURIA
S/O LATE SUKRAM HATIMURIA
R/O BANGMURIA
KONWAR GAON
P.S. SIMALUGURI
DIST. SIBSAGAR
ASSAM.

Advocate for : MR. D NATH (SR. GA
ASSAM)

Advocate for : DR R C BORPATRA GOHAIN appearing for ARUN KONWAR AND
ANR

Linked case : WA/306/2025

THE STATE OF ASSAM,
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF
ASSAM, HOME DEPTT., DISPUR, GUWAHATI 6

VERSUS

ARUN KONWAR AND 6 ORS
S/O SURENDRA KONWAR, R/O BANGMURIA, KONWAR GAON, P.S.
SIMALUGURI, DIST. SIBSAGAR, ASSAM.

2:ACHYUT HATIMURIA
RO BANGMURIA
KONWAR GAON
P.S. SIMALUGURI
DIST. SIBSAGAR
ASSAM.

3:THE DEPUTY GENERAL GENERAL OF POLICE (CR) DIPHU KARBI
ANGLONG
DIPHU
KARBI ANGLONG

4:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE GOLAGHAT ASSAM
GOLAGHAT ASSAM

5:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE JORHAT ASSAM
JORHAT ASSAM

6:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE DIBRUGARH ASSAM
DIBRUGARH ASSAM

7:THE COMMANDENT 1ST AP BN
LIGIRIPUKHURI
NAZIRA
SIBSAGAR ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. D NATH, SR. GA, ASSAM

Advocate for the Respondent : DR R C BORPATRA GOHAIN, FOR
CAVEATOR,MR. R D PHUKAN,MRS S BARPATRAGOHAİN

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SUSMITA PHUKAN KHAUND

ORDER

27.07.2026

(K.R. Surana, J)

Heard Mr. D. Nath, learned Senior Government Advocate appearing for the applicants. Also heard Dr. R.C. Borpatra Gohain, learned senior counsel assisted by Ms. S. Barpatragohain, learned counsel for the respondent nos.1 and 2, who have entered appearance by filing caveator.

2. By filing this interlocutory application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the applicants, who are the appellants in the connected intra-court appeal has prayed for transposition of proforma respondent nos.3 to 7 as appellants nos.2 to 6.

3. It is submitted that the said authorities are required to be impleaded as appellants instead of respondents and that due to an inadvertent mistake,

they were shown as proforma respondent nos. 3 to 7.

4. Having heard the learned senior counsel for the respondent nos.1 and 2, the prayer is allowed.

5. Accordingly, the transposition of the proforma respondent nos.3 to 7 as appellant nos.2 to 6 in the connected intra-court appeal is allowed.

6. The appellants shall file an amended cause-title within 2(two) working days and hand over the same to the AO(J), Writ Appeal Section.

7. On such filing, the amended cause title shall be incorporated in the memo of appeal, with corresponding alteration of the CIS database, and thereafter, the connected appeal shall be listed in the admission column.

8. Accordingly, this interlocutory application is allowed and disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant