

GAHC010001622019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : RSA/78/2019

SMTI. RATNA CHAKRABORTY AND 3 ORS.
W/O- LATE SUSHIL KUMAR CHAKRABORTY,
R/O- LAKSHMI CHARAN ROAD, P.O. KARIMGANJ- 788711, DIST.-
KARIMGANJ, ASSAM.

2: SMTI. SUPRIYA CHAKRABORTY
D/O- LATE SUSHIL KUMAR CHAKRABORTY

R/O- LAKSHMI CHARAN ROAD
P.O. KARIMGANJ- 788711
DIST.- KARIMGANJ
ASSAM.

3: SMTI. RUPALI @ RUPASHREE CHAKRABORTY
D/O- LATE SUSHIL KUMAR CHAKRABORTY

R/O- LAKSHMI CHARAN ROAD
P.O. KARIMGANJ- 788711
DIST.- KARIMGANJ
ASSAM.

4: SMTI. PURABI CHAKRABORTY
D/O- LATE SUSHIL KUMAR CHAKRABORTY
UPPER LABAN
P.O.- SHILLONG- 4
MEGHALAYA

VERSUS

SMTI. ARUNA CHAKRABORTY AND 4 ORS.
W/O- LATE SITANGSHU CHAKRABORTY,
R/O- SECTOR 3, QUARTER NO. C-30, P.O.- ROURKELLA- 769001, DIST.-
SUNDARGARH, ORRISA.

2:SRI SUBRANGSHU CHAKRABORTY
S/O- LATE SITANGSHU CHAKRABORTY
R/O- SECTOR 3
QUARTER NO. C-30
P.O.- ROURKELLA- 769001
DIST.- SUNDARGARH
ORRISA.

3:SRI SNEHANGSHU CHAKRABORTY
S/O- LATE SITANGSHU CHAKRABORTY
R/O- SECTOR 3
QUARTER NO. C-30
P.O.- ROURKELLA- 769001
DIST.- SUNDARGARH
ORRISA.

4:SRI SAMIRAN CHAKRABORTY
S/O- BINAPANI CHAKRABORTY
R/O- LAKSHMI CHARAN ROAD
P.O. KARIMGANJ- 788710
DIST.- KARIMGANJ
ASSAM.

5:SMTI. BINAPANI CHAKRABORTY
D/O- SURESH CHANDRA CHAKRABORTY
R/O- LAKSHMI CHARAN ROAD
P.O. KARIMGANJ- 788710
DIST.- KARIMGANJ
ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. N DHAR, MR. T U LASKAR,MS. S DASGUPTA

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. S K GHOSH [R4(R1.2), R5 (R1.3)], MS F AHMED [R4(R1.2), R5 (R1.3)]

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN

ORDER

19.08.2024

Heard Mr. T.U. Laskar, learned counsel for the appellants and also heard Mr. S.K. Ghosh, learned counsel for the respondent Nos.1(B) and 1(C).

Office note dated 16.08.2024 indicates that notice issued to the respondent Nos.1(A and 1(C returned with a report that they could not be found, as both are residing at Guwahati. And notice issued to the respondent No.1(B returned with a report that he refused to accept the notice.

The learned counsel for the appellants submits that as the respondent No.1(B refused to accept the notice, the same deemed to have been served upon him and that he will take fresh step upon respondent Nos.1(A and 1(C.

Accordingly, it is provided that notice to the respondent Nos.1(A and 1(C be issued by registered post with A/D and also by usual process within a week from today.

Notice upon respondent No.1(B deemed to have been served as he refused to accept the same.

List after 4(four) weeks.

Sd/- Robin Phukan
JUDGE

Comparing Assistant