

GAHC010001112023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Crl.Rev.P./12/2023

ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE DEPTT. OF REVENUE
GOVT. OF INDIA, REP. BY THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, GUWAHATI ZONAL
OFFICE, HOUSE NO. 20, BY LANE-1, RAJGARH ROAD,
CHANDMARI, GUWAHATI-781003, ASSAM

VERSUS

PRITHI PAUL SINGH
S/O LATE RAM SINGH SETHI, PERMANENT RESIDENT OF R/O 509/179,
BANDI HOUSE, FLAT NO. 1,
DR. B.N. ROAD, LUCKNOW, U.P. -226007 (ACCUSED)

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. R DHAR

Advocate for the Respondent :

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

ORDER

Date : --27.03.2023.

Heard Mr. R. Dhar, learned counsel for the petitioner.

As per office note, it is seen that no affidavit has been filed for the service through dasti. However, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner

that prior to the last occasion, the service was completed through dasti. However, the learned counsel appeared for the respondent did not file affidavit in this regard.

Today, the respondent sides remain unrepresented. It is seen that no vakalatnama also been filed.

In this context, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will file affidavit in this regard.

Let the matter be listed on 26.04.2023 enabling the counsel for the petitioner to file affidavit for the service of notice through dasti mode.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant