



2025:DHC:10790

\$~7

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(COMM) 1302/2018

**TOMMY HILFIGER EUROPE B.V.**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Sampurnaa Sanyal,  
Advs.

versus

**PARTHA CHATTERJEE**

.....Defendant

Through: Appearance not given.

**CORAM:  
SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR  
(JUDICIAL)**

**ORDER**  
**03.07.2024**

%

Once the defendant is served via publication, he shall file the written statement as per law, whereafter its replication be also filed in accordance with law.

List the matter for the service of the defendant and the completion of pleadings on **07.11.2024**.

**IA No. 32112/2024 (By plaintiff u/o 5 Rule 20 r/w Section 151 CPC)**

The application is for the substituted service of the defendant, via publication. As noted in the order dated 12.03.2024, this defendant could not be served, vide ordinary means. His email had bounced. His speed post was returned with the report of “insufficient address”. (Refer: service-affidavit filed, vide diary no. 224456/2024).



2025:DHC:10790

In its application duly supported by the required affidavit, the plaintiff is stating that it is unable to get hold of any alternate/fresh address-details of the defendant. In the given circumstances, the service of the defendant vide ordinary means seems improbable, whereby the substituted service seems to be the only option.

Accordingly, the application is allowed whereby the defendant is directed to be served via publication in the newspaper “*Times of India*” local edition, subject to the filing of the PF and deposit of the publication charges as per rules.

**SIDHARTH MATHUR (DHJS)  
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**JULY 3, 2024/jr**

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*