



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 13/2018

KRISHNA NAGPAL AND ORS Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Vivek Vidyarathi, Suvigya
Vidyarathi, Mr. Sarvagya
Vidyarathi, Mr. Nischay
Chaudhary, Apoorva Singh, Ms.
Rushali Rawat & Ms. Samriddhi
Shukla, Advs. for P-3 & 5
(appeared through VC)

versus

SANJAY NAGPAL AND ORS Defendant

Through: Mr. Satish Pandye & Mr. Vedang
Upadhyay, Advs. for D-1
Suit stands abated qua D-3 & 7
vide order dated 23/08/2022
Mr. Sanyat Lodha & Bhavya
Bachani, Advs. for D-8 & 9
(appeared through VC)

CORAM:
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)**

ORDER
28.11.2025

%

I.A.No.29721/2025 (under Section 5 of the Limitation Act filed on behalf of the defendant no.1 seeking condonation of delay of 95 days in filing reply to interrogatory in terms of order dated 28.07.2025 passed in IA No.6948/2025)

1. It is submitted that the matter is coming up before the Hon'ble Court on 17.03.2026.
2. File is taken up today on listing of the captioned IA.
3. It is averred in the captioned IA that reply could not be filed within time as certain old documents were



required for preparation of the reply. It is further averred that in the meantime, defendant no.1 changed counsel and due to this reason, further delay was occurred in preparing and filing the reply.

4. Heard. Record perused.
5. In view of the averments made in the captioned IA, the application is allowed. Delay in filing reply to the interrogatory is condoned and the same is taken on record.
6. IA stands disposed of.

MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
NOVEMBER 28, 2025/nk