

\$~6

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

CS(OS) 637/2017

MR. MANOJ KUMAR GARG

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Vivekanand, Adv.

versus

MR. SATYA NARAIN BANSAL

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Dilip Singha, Adv.

**CORAM:**

**SH. DEVENDER KUMAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR  
(JUDICIAL)**

**ORDER**

%

**28.08.2019**

**CS(OS) 637/2017**

Learned counsel for plaintiff has submitted that all the documents filed by the defendant are just photocopies/newspaper publications/medical documents which are not pertaining to plaintiff and are not supposed to be admitted/denied by the plaintiff.

On the other hand, learned counsel for defendant has also admitted that these documents are not pertaining to plaintiff. However, originals of the documents relied upon by the defendants are not on record and even these documents are not related to plaintiff due to documents are not supposed to be admitted/denied by plaintiff. As such, admission/denial of documents stands concluded.

On the last date of hearing, comprehensive document schedule was directed to be filed, but learned counsel for plaintiff has

submitted that comprehensive document schedule is to be filed only in commercial cases due to no comprehensive document schedule is required to be filed.

Heard.

List the matter before the Hon'ble Court for issues/further directions on 24.09.2019.

**AUGUST 28, 2019/tp**

**DEVENDER KUMAR (DHJS)  
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

***[Click here to check corrigendum](#)***