



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 1283/2018**
AIRMID AVIATION SERVICES PVT. LTD.

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Kashish Narang, Adv.
(appeared through VC)

versus

ORISSA STEVEDORES LIMITED

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. R. Chandrachud, Mr. Surya
Deep Singh, Mr. Dhuli
Venkata Krishna & Mr. Sparsh
Bansal, Advs.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)

% **ORDER**
20.11.2024

1. The matter is at the stage of plaintiff's evidence.
2. As per office note, list of witnesses filed on behalf of plaintiff. Learned counsel for defendant opposes of filing of fresh list of witnesses at this stage.
3. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that he will move appropriate application seeking liberty to file fresh list of witnesses.
4. Vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of the defendant.
5. Evidence by way of affidavit filed on behalf of the plaintiff has been returned under objection. Learned counsel for plaintiff is requested to check it up with the Registry and have the same placed on record.
6. Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that he will pay the previous cost to learned counsel for the defendant



before the next date of hearing.

7. Learned counsel for defendant opposes request made by learned counsel for the plaintiff and submits that plaintiff has not complied with the previous directions. Heard. Adjournment is granted to the plaintiff subject to further cost of Rs.5,000/- to be paid to learned counsel for the defendant. It is made clear that if the plaintiff fails to remove the objection, plaintiff's evidence shall be closed.
8. Re-notify the matter for PE on **05th March, 2025**.

MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
NOVEMBER 20, 2024/nk
Click here to check corrigendum, if any