

\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ TEST.CAS. 97/2015

SHRI ASHOK DHAWAN Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vivek Ojha, Adv.,

versus

STATE & OTHERS Respondents

Through: Mr.Kamal Kapoor, Adv. for R2.
Mr.Suryajyoti Singh, Adv. for R3.
Ms. Ruchira Adv. for R4.

CORAM:

**SH. ASHUTOSH KUMAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

% **02.08.2016**

**IA No.8057/2016 (under Oder 8 Rule 1 read with Section 151
CPC seeking condonation of delay in filing the response/reply to
objections of respondent no.3 moved by the respondent no.2)**

Counsel for respondent no.3 has no objection to the instant application. In view of the same and reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and delay is condoned.

IA stands disposed off.

**IA No.7908/2016 (u/Order 8 Rule 1 read with Section 151 CPC
filed by respondent no.4 seeking condonation of delay of 26 days
in filing the response/reply to the objections of respondent no.3)**

Counsel for respondent no.3 submits that he has no opposition to the instant application. In view of the same and reasons mentioned

in the application, the same is allowed and delay is condoned.

IA stands disposed off.

TEST.CAS. 97/2015

Response/reply of respondent nos.2 and 4 to the objections of respondent no.3, are taken on record. Rejoinder to the response/reply of respondent no.4 has already been filed by respondent no.3. At request, similar rejoinder to the response/reply of respondent no.2 be filed by respondent no.3 within two weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Counsels for the parties submit that respondent no.3 is the only contesting respondent.

At request, petitioner may file response to the objections of respondent no.3 within two weeks with advance copy to the other side, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, two days prior to the next date of hearing.

List on 19.08.2016 for completion of pleadings.

**ASHUTOSH KUMAR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

AUGUST 02, 2016
neelam