



\$~6

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 642/2017

VIJAY SINGH

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Ajay Uppal & Mr. Rakesh
Kumar, Advs.

versus

SANDHYA GOEL & ANR

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Vidit Gupta, Adv. for D-1
(joined through VC)
Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Adv. for
D-2

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

%

12.11.2024

**IA No.6964/2024 moved by plaintiff for summoning of
witnesses**

1. Pleadings qua defendant no.1 are already complete.
2. As per office note, reply to the captioned IA filed by defendant no.2 is lying under objections. It is stated by learned counsel for the defendant no.2 that he has filed an application seeking condonation of delay in filing the reply alongwith the reply. However, no such condonation of delay application is on record. Learned counsel for the defendant no.2 is directed to take requisite steps to bring reply as well as condonation of delay application on record.
3. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that he has received copy of both reply and its condonation of delay application. The plaintiff is at liberty to file reply to said condonation of delay application within two weeks with copy to the opposite side, who is at liberty to file rejoinder, if any, within two weeks thereafter with copy to the opposite side.



Re-notify the captioned IA for completion of pleadings on the next date of hearing

CS(OS) 642/2017

4. The matter is listed for remaining cross-examination of PW-1 by defendant no.2.

5. However, an application for summoning of witnesses filed by the plaintiff is pending consideration.

Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on 11.02.2025.

**PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
NOVEMBER 12, 2024/ab**

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)