



\$~2

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(COMM) 675/2017

BHARAT HEAVY ELECTRICALS LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Prashant Mehta & Mr.
Raghav Marwala, Advs. (joined
through VC)

Versus

EGYPTIAN ELECTRICITY TRANSMISSION

COMPANY & ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Anmol Mehta, Adv. for
D-2&3

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

% **29.10.2024**

**IA No.40249/2024 moved by plaintiff seeking
condonation of delay in filing Replication to the
Written Statement of defendant no.3**

1. Learned counsel for the defendant no.3 is present and submits that he has no objection to captioned IA.
2. In view of above and in view of reasons mentioned in the application, captioned IA stands allowed to the extent that the delay, if any, in filing Replication alongwith Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents filed by the plaintiff to the Written Statement of defendant no.3 is condoned and the same is allowed to be taken on record. Accordingly, captioned IA stands disposed of.

CS(COMM) 675/2017

3. Pleadings are stated to be complete for D-2.
4. Replication alongwith Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents filed by the plaintiff to



the Written Statement of defendant no.3 is taken on record vide order of even date. **Thus, pleadings qua defendant no.3 are complete.**

5. As per office note, hard copy of the documents filed by the plaintiff and defendant no.3 are on record.

6. Further, as per record, the plaintiff has filed Affidavit of Admission/Denial qua documents of the defendant no.2.

7. However, as per record, no document has been filed by defendant no.2. At this stage, it is stated by learned counsel for the defendant no.2 that he has filed the documents vide diary no.506880/2017. However, the said documents are lying under objections. Learned counsel for the defendant no.2 is directed to take requisite steps as per law to bring the same on record. The defendant no.2 is also directed to file hard copy of the said documents with the registry within two weeks.

8. It is stated by learned counsel for the plaintiff that he has shared the draft of Joint Schedule of the documents with learned counsel for defendant no.2&3 on 20.09.2024 but till date he has not received any revert on the same from learned counsel for the defendant no.2&3. Learned counsel for the defendant no.2&3 submits that he has already given his input on the said draft of Joint Schedule of the documents. At request, learned counsel for the defendant no.2&3 is directed to again share his inputs with learned counsel for the plaintiff within one week. Thereafter, the plaintiff is directed to file soft copy as well as physical copy of Joint Schedule of the documents within one week/two weeks.



Re-notify the matter for marking of exhibits to the documents on date fixed i.e. 19.11.2024.

PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
OCTOBER 29, 2024/ab
[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)