



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 624/2017**

PFIZER INC. & ORS

..... Plaintiff

Through: Ms.Rashi Punia Advocate

versus

LUCIUS PHARMACEUTICALS & ORS. Defendant

Through: Mr. Manav Gupta & Mr. Sahil Garg
Advocates for D-3

Mr. Praveen Kr. Advocate for D-4

Mr. Aruni Poddar Advocate for D-5

Defendant No.6 is ex parte

CORAM:

**SH. RAKESH PANDIT (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

% **13.03.2018**

IA No. 3404/2018 (under Order 6 Rule 16 CPC by D-3)

Heard.

Notice. Learned counsel for the plaintiff accepts notice and seeks time to file reply . Heard. Request allowed. Reply be filed within two weeks from today to be followed by rejoinder if any within the next one week.

List for arguments on the next date of hearing.

IA No. 10909/2017

Learned counsels for defendants 3 and 5 submit that they do not



wish to file any reply and the facts mentioned in their written statements may be treated as their defence qua this application. Heard. Request allowed.

CS(COMM) 624/2017

As per office report, service report qua the summons issued to defendants 1 and 2 is awaited.

Pleadings qua defendants 4 and 5 are complete.

Defendant no.3 has filed the written statement. Learned counsel for the plaintiff seeks time to file the replication qua the same. Heard. Request allowed. As requested, the plaintiff is directed to file the replication within two weeks from today.

Relist for completion of pleadings and for arguments on 11.05.2018.

**SH. RAKESH PANDIT (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MARCH 13, 2018
hk