



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 624/2017, I.A. 10909/2017**

PFIZER INC. & ORS

.....Plaintiffs

Through: Ms. Tusha Malhotra and Ms. R.
Ramya, Advocates.

Versus

LUCIUS PHARMACEUTICALS & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Dubey and Mr.
Ayush, Advocate for D-7.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **16.07.2025**

1. Learned counsel for the plaintiffs submits that the present suit is only remaining alive *qua* defendant nos.1, 2 and 7.
2. Learned counsel for the plaintiffs and defendant no.7 submit that they are in the midst of negotiation talks. She further submits that since the defendant nos.1 and 2 have not been appearing, the plaintiffs have already filed an *ex-parte* affidavit of evidence in support of their case.
3. Learned counsel for the plaintiffs seeks, and are granted, a period of four weeks for filing written synopsis not exceeding *five* pages, giving a chronological list of dates and events and relevant documents, if any, alongwith duly highlighted judgments setting out the propositions of law therein, she wishes to rely upon.
4. Accordingly, re-notify for arguments on 10.10.2025.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JULY 16, 2025/NA