

\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 2691/2015**
GURNAM KAUR Plaintiff
Through

versus **Mr. Dhruv Rohtagi, Adv.**

BSES RAJDHANI & OTHERS Defendant
Through **Mr. Deepak Pathak, Adv. for D-1 &3**

CORAM:
ASHUTOSH KUMAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

ORDER

% **16.11.2015**

IA No. 22584/2015 under Order VIII Rule 10 CPC moved by plaintiff for striking off the defence of the defendants

Learned counsel for defendant nos. 1 & 3 has accepted the notice of the application and has admitted that copy of the same has already been received by him. The said defendants may file reply within four weeks with advance copy to plaintiff, who may file rejoinder within two weeks thereafter. Since no relief has been claimed in the present application against defendant no.2, therefore, formal notice of the application cannot be issued to him.

List for completion of pleadings on this IA, for next date of hearing.

CS(OS) 2691/2015 and IA No. 18536/2015 (by the plaintiff u/O XXXIX R 1&2 CPC)

Learned counsel for defendant nos. 1 and 3 has submitted that the written statement and reply to the IA could not be filed within the

Contd.....2

time granted by the Hon'ble Court vide its previous order dated 04.09.2015 and that he shall file the same within two weeks alongwith an application for condonation of delay in filing written statement. Law shall take its own course in this regard.

None is present on behalf of defendant no.2 although appearance was entered on his behalf on the last date of hearing. Learned counsel for plaintiff has submitted that defendant no.2 (South Delhi Municipal Corporation) is a pro-forma defendant.

List for further proceedings, for 13.01.2016.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

NOVEMBER 16, 2015/cd