



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 170/2017**

AMIT TYAGI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Sunil Dutt Dixit, Advocate
alongwith appellant in person

versus

DEEPA TYAGI

.....Respondent

Through: Respondent in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

24.10.2024

%

1. Though on the last date, learned counsel for the appellant had submitted that the appellant was willing to reconcile with the respondent and cohabit with her, today, he submits that it is not possible for the parties to live together.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant therefore submits that in order to have a mutual separation, the appellant is willing to pay a lump sum amount of Rs.8 Lakhs towards alimony for the respondent and the daughter of the parties, who is in her custody. The respondent who appears in person submits that this amount is highly inadequate and, therefore, even if she were to agree for a mutual separation, the appellant must pay a suitable amount towards alimony.
3. In the light of the aforesaid plea taken by the respondent, learned



counsel for the appellant prays for time to enable the parties to arrive at an amicable settlement. As prayed for, we are deferring the hearing to enable the parties to endeavour to enter into an amicable settlement making it clear that if no settlement is arrived at before the next date, the appeal will be taken up for consideration on merits.

4. List on 20.11.2024, on which date both the parties will remain present in Court.

REKHA PALLI, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

OCTOBER 24, 2024/rr