



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 170/2017**

AMIT TYAGI

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Sunil Dutt Dixit, Adv (though
VC) with appellant in person

versus

DEEPA TYAGI

.....Respondent

Through: In person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

%

16.10.2024

1. On the last date, learned counsel for the appellant had submitted that in order to amicably resolve the matter the appellant was willing to reconcile and reside with the respondent in a suitable rented accommodation. In support of his plea that he would alongwith the respondent shift to a suitable rented accommodation, he had on the last date provided addresses of three rental premises, details whereof were duly noted in paragraph 2 of the order dated 02.09.2024 .
2. Today, the respondent submits that she is willing to shift to any of the three accommodations subject to the appellant agreeing to reside with her in the said premises. She however submits that in order to enable her to choose one of the three rental premises offered by the appellant, it would be necessary for her to visit these three premises.



3. The appellant who is present in Court has no objection to the request and submits that he will accompany the respondent to the aforesaid three properties on 19.10.2024 and 20.10.2024 so as to enable her to take an appropriate decision. The said suggestion is acceptable to the respondent. Both sides are therefore granted time to together visit all the three premises set out in paragraph 2 of the last order.
4. At request, list on 24.10.2024 on which both parties will remain present in Court.

REKHA PALLI, J

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

OCTOBER 16, 2024/Ab