



\$~14

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 417/2017

MR. ASHOK KUMAR JAIN

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Lalit Gupta, Ms. Neha Bansal, Mr. Anmol Ghai & Ms. Ishita Nautiyal, Advs. with plaintiff in person

Versus

MR. VARDHMAN JAIN & ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Bhaskar Tiwari, Adv.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA MAHENDRA, (DHJS)

ORDER

%

27.09.2024

IA No.32797/2024 under Order VIII Rule 1 CPC moved by the defendants seeking condonation of delay in filing Written Statement to Amended Plaint

As per record, reply to the captioned IA filed by the plaintiff is already on record. as per office note, rejoinder to the same filed by the applicants/defendants is also on record. **Thus, pleadings are complete.**

Part arguments heard. At this stage, learned counsel for the defendants has strenuously argued that the limitation period of 120 days as prescribed under Rule 4 of Chapter VII of Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules for filing Written Statement does not strictly apply to the Written Statement filed in response to the amended plaint. The said proposition is strongly denied by learned counsel for the plaintiff.

As important question of law has arisen and at



request, place the captioned IA before the Hon'ble Court on 03.12.2024, for further directions.

PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
SEPTEMBER 27, 2024/ab
[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)