

\$~4

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

CS(OS) 558/2017

MS. SHEETAL SAWHNEY

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr.J.K. Nayyar, Adv. with  
Ms.Bhawana Bisht, Adv.

versus

MRS NARESH SAHWNEY & ANR

..... Defendants

Through: Mr.K. Sunil. Adv. with Ms.Akshita  
Sarampria, Adv. for D-1, 1(a) & 1(b)

**CORAM:**

**SH. DEVENDER KUMAR (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR  
(JUDICIAL)**

**ORDER**

%

**11.03.2019**

**I.A. No. 3214/2019 filed by defendant no.1, 1(a) & 1(b) for  
summoning of witnesses**

Heard.

Examination of witnesses mentioned in list of witnesses is necessary for proper adjudication of the case. Accordingly, application is allowed.

Plaintiff is at liberty to summon the witnesses on filing of PF through all permissible modes returnable by 15.04.2019.

**CS(OS) 558/2017**

No DW present. Affidavits of two defendant's witnesses have already been filed. Copy already received.

Learned counsel for defendants submitted that attesting witnesses are required to be examined first to prove Will due to main defendants have not been called for examination. Adjournment opposed by learned counsel for plaintiff on the ground that it is just a delay tactic. Though the defendants no.1, 1(a) & 1(b) were directed to lead their evidence first, yet examination of attesting witness of Will is also necessary and defendants are directed to lead their evidence on the next date of hearing.

Learned counsel for plaintiff has submitted that copy of documents relied upon by defendants have not been supplied. On the other hand, learned counsel for defendants has submitted that the same have already been supplied. To avoid further controversy, learned counsel for defendants is directed to supply copy of relied upon documents to learned counsel for plaintiff within three days against proper receipt.

Put up for defendants' evidence on 15.04.2019.

**DEVENDER KUMAR (DHJS)  
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**MARCH 11, 2019/tp**