



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 426/2017**

SATISH KUMAR @ SATISH GOYAL

..... Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Rekha Anand, proxy counsel for Mr.
V. K. Jain, Adv

versus

LATA JAIN & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: Mr. Sanjeet Singh, Adv for D1 to 5.

Mr. Prashant Shekhar, Adv for D6.

Mr. Anirudh Sharma, proxy counsel for
Mr. Dhruv Madan, Adv for D7.

Mr. B. S. Mathur & Mr. Rajat Mathur,
Adv for D9 to 11.

CORAM:

**SH. RAJ KUMAR TRIPATHI (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

%

15.02.2019

Vakalatnama filed by counsel for defendant no.7. Written statement not filed by defendant No.7 till date. Counsel for defendant No.7 submits that written statement has already been prepared and same has been sent to party for being signed. He further submits that the moment the signed written statement is received from defendant No.7, he would file the same in the registry alongwith application for seeking condonation of delay at the earliest and preferably within two weeks. Let same be filed as submitted.



Replication to the written statement of defendants 6 & 9 not filed by plaintiff till date. The advocate appearing on behalf of plaintiff submits that main counsel is unwell and due to the said reason, replication could not be prepared. She seeks some more time to file replication and affidavit for admission/denial of documents. Let replication alongwith affidavit for admission/denial of documents be filed by plaintiff within two weeks with advance copy to counsel for defendants.

Renotify for completion of pleadings and marking of exhibits to the documents on 01.04.2019.

RAJ KUMAR TRIPATHI (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

FEBRUARY 15, 2019
sk