



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 426/2017 & I.A. 16824/2022, O.A. 43/2022

SATISH KUMAR @ SATISH GOYAL

.....Plaintiff

Through: None

versus

LATA JAIN & ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Jaideep Singh, Mr. Rakshit Anand, Advocates for D-1(A) – 5.
Mr. Prashant Shekhar, Mr. Prashant Shekhar Gaur, Advocates for D-6
Mr. Dhruv Madan, Advocate for D-7

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

%

15.12.2025

1. Learned Counsel for Defendant No.1(A) to 5 states that the parties are trying to amicably settle the disputes. He states that though the learned Counsel for the Plaintiff is not present in Court because of his ill health, he is aware of the fact that the parties are trying to amicably settle the matter.
2. Learned Counsel for Defendant No.6 states that he has no instructions regarding the settlement between the parties.
3. Be that as it may, in view of the statement made by the learned Counsel for Defendant No.1(A) to 5, list on 28.04.2026.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

DECEMBER 15, 2025

hsk