



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 426/2017, I.A. 16824/2022**

SATISH KUMAR @ SATISH GOYAL

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Gourav Kumar Aggarwal,
Advocate

versus

LATA JAIN & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: Mr. Jaideep Singh, Advocate for D-
5Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Advocate for
D-7
Mr. B.S. Mathur, Mr. Rajat Mathur
and Ms. Ananya Luthra, Advocates
for D-9 to 11

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

01.02.2024

O.A. 43/2022(against the order Dated 02.09.2022)

1. The present Chamber Appeal under Chapter II Rule 5 of the Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018 against the Order dated 02.09.2022 *vide* which the application of the plaintiff has been allowed.
2. Learned counsel on behalf of the plaintiff submits that he has been recently engaged and seeks some time to file the reply.
3. The record shows that no reply has been filed to the present Chamber Appeal till date since more than one and a half years.
4. The reply be filed within 30 days, subject to costs of Rs.20,000/- to be paid to the defendant Nos. 1 to 5, failing which, the same shall not be taken on record.



5. List for arguments on 23.09.2024.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

FEBRUARY 1, 2024/PT