

\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12409/2018**

RUPALI JAIN AND ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through Mr Ved Jain, Mr Kislaya Parashar,
Ms Neeuha Tripathi, Mr Srinivasant Ramaswar,
Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through Mr Gaurang Kanth, CGSC with
Mr Eshita Baruah, Advocates.
Mr Shobhana Takiar, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

% **27.03.2019**

1. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent seeks further time to file a status report.
2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the Bengali Market area has been included within LBZ Boundary inadvertently and without any intention to do so. He submits that the Bengali Market area did not fulfil any of the criteria as set out for LBZ and it is common knowledge that the buildings constructed in Bengali Market cannot be considered as “bungalows”, as is commonly understood.
3. The respondent shall also respond to the aforesaid contention in the status report, to be filed. Let the same be done within a period of six weeks from today.

4. List on 13.08.2019.

MARCH 27, 2019
pkv

VIBHU BAKHRU, J