

\$~5 to 7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8867/2017 & C.M. APPL. 36252/2017
NEELAM CHOPRA Petitioner
Through: Mr. Hemanshu Gautam, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr. Rajat Krishna, Adv. for R- 2 & 3.

+ W.P.(C) 8882/2017 & C.M. APPL. 36313/2017
RAJE SINGH RAWAT AND ANR Petitioners
Through: Mr. Hemanshu Gautam, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Respondents
Through: Ms. Barkha Babbar with
Mr. Het Shah, Advs. for R-1.
Mr. Rajat Krishna, Adv. for R- 2 & 3.

+ W.P.(C) 9297/2017 & C.M. APPL. 37996-37997/2017
SMT. NEELAM CHOPRA Petitioner
Through: Mr. Hemanshu Gautam, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr. Ripu Daman Bhardwaj, CGSC with
Mr. T.P.Singh, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. Rajat Krishna, Adv. for R- 2 & 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

%

11.01.2019

Counsel for respondent nos. 2 and 3, Mr. Rajiv Sharma is not available and an adjournment is sought on his behalf. Learned counsel further states that since the detailed reply was filed before the Tribunal which is placed before this Court as well, no further reply is called for.

Counsel for the Union of India states that they do not wish to file any counter affidavit since it is for the other respondents to defend the proceedings.

List on 24.07.2019.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

A. K. CHAWLA, J

JANUARY 11, 2019

ak