



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LA.APP. 265/2017**

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr.Sunil
Kumar Jha, Advocates

versus

RAJ KUMAR AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Sanjay Kumar, SSO/Legal,
DMRC/R2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **20.07.2018**

1. The respondent No.1 remains unserved.
2. The counsel for the appellant has no instructions, whether the appellant has deposited the amount with the Reference Court as ordered on 28th August, 2017.
3. If the amount is not deposited, the respondent No.1 shall be entitled to execute the judgment and decree of the Reference Court.
4. CM No. 30834/2017 is disposed of.
5. The counsel for the appellant states that LA.APP. No.158/2017 with respect to the same award and an identical order of the Reference Court has been admitted and ordered to be listed in the category of 'Regulars'.



6. Issue fresh notice to the respondent No.1 by all modes including *dasti* through the advocate for the respondent No.1 before the Reference Court, returnable before the Registrar (Appellate) on 28th September, 2018.
6. Admit.
7. List in the category of 'Regulars' along with LA.APP. No.158/2017.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

JULY 20, 2018
pk

LA.APP. 265/2017

Page 2 of 2