



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 548/2015

MANISHA BHAGAT Appellant
Through: Mr. Mayank Rustagi, Adv.
versus

SUBHASH CHAND PALIWAL & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Syed A. Nizami, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

SH. P.K. UPPAL, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

03.11.2017

CM APPL. 23009/2017 (u/O 22 R 4 CPC)

This is an application for bringing on record the LRs of deceased respondent no. 2, who is stated to have expired on 19.01.2017.

Service already complete.

Reply not filed.

Counsel for respondent no.2 states that he does not wish to file reply and has no objection to the application being allowed.

In the interests of justice, one more opportunity is granted to respondent no.1 to file reply, if any, within three weeks, failing which the same shall be taken on record, subject to payment of costs of Rs. 5,000/-, payable to Delhi High Court Employees Welfare Fund.

Rejoinder thereto by the next date of hearing.

Put up on 18.12.2017.

RFA 548/2015

Page 1 of 2



CM APPL. 4752/2017 (by the appellant for clarification of the order dated 09.01.2017)

Reply not filed.

In the interests of justice, another opportunity is granted to the respondents to file reply, if any, within three weeks.

Rejoinder thereto by the next date of hearing.

Put up on 18.12.2017.

CM APPL. 15337/2017 (u/Sec. 151 CPC)

This is an application for directions to respondent no.1 to provide details of LRs of deceased respondent no.2.

In the application it is mentioned that respondent no.1 has already provided details of LRs of deceased respondent no.2 and the application for impleadment of LRs has been filed.

In view of this, the present application becomes infructuous and the same stands disposed of.

REGISTRAR

NOVEMBER 03, 2017/cl

RFA 548/2015

Page 2 of 2