



§~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 528/2018

SMT. ABHA GUPTA

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Viney Sharma, Adv.
(appeared through VC)

versus

SHRI RAVINDRA KUMAR GUPTA & ORS.

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Vikas Gautam, Adv. for D-1
(appeared through VC)
Mr. Vikas Bharti, Adv. for D-2, 3
5 & 6 (appeared through VC)
with D-5 in person
Mr. V.K Gupta & Ms. Kaushiki
Kashyap, Adv. for D-4 with D-4
in person (appeared through VC)

CORAM:
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)**

ORDER
25.03.2025

%

1. The matter is at the stage of completion of pleadings and admission/denial of documents.
2. Learned counsel for plaintiff had already submitted that he does not wish to file replication to the amended written statement of defendant no.3.
3. As per office note, plaintiff has re-filed replication to the amended written statement of defendant no.1.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that issues in the present matter has already been framed by the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 04/09/2019 for partition



of Rohini property and no further admission/denial of documents is required to be conducted.

5. Now, the matter is at the stage of plaintiff's evidence.
6. Plaintiff is directed to file evidence by way of affidavit of the witnesses within four weeks with advance copy to the opposite side. Let hard copy of the same be also filed.
7. Re-notify the matter for PE on **14th July, 2025**.

**MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MARCH 25, 2025/nk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any