



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **TEST.CAS. 37/2017**

MRS. MEGHA KAKKAR NEE SETH Petitioner
Through: **Mr. Rajeshwar Kr. Gupta, Adv.**

versus

STATE Respondent
Through: **None.**

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **10.10.2019**

IA No.14040/2019(u/S.148/151 CPC for enlargement of time to file documents)

1. Though the petitioner on 24th September, 2019 was permitted to file documents by 27th September, 2019 subject to payment of costs but this application has been filed stating that the documents have been filed yesterday along with this application and costs as directed was also paid on 30th September, 2019 by cheque which was personally delivered.
2. None appears for the contesting respondents no. 2 and 3 in spite of advance copy stated to have been given.
3. Considering the nature of the application and further considering the fact that the counsel for the contesting respondents no. 2 and 3 has chosen not to appear and yet further considering that the proceedings are scheduled on 15th October, 2019 for recording of evidence, it is felt that notice if issued, the recording of evidence will be derailed and the disposal delayed.

TEST.CAS. 37/2017

Page 1 of 2



4. Thus, the application is allowed and disposed of.
5. The documents filed as aforesaid are taken on record and permitted to be kept in accordance with law.

TEST.CAS. 37/2017 & TEST.CAS. 43/2019

6. List before the Joint Registrar on 15th October, 2019, as already scheduled.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

OCTOBER 10, 2019
'ak'..

TEST.CAS. 37/2017

Page 2 of 2