



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1349/2016**

ANUP N KOTHARI AND ANR

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Anusuya Salwan, Advocate for
Petitioner no. 2 (through VC)

versus

ROOPAK N KOTHARI AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Abhishek Ghai, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

%

11.04.2023

1. A request for adjournment has been made on behalf of the parties.
2. This Court takes notes that the Predecessor Bench *vide* order dated 03.12.2019 has already taken an adverse view of the fact that the parties have not proceeded with this matter and repeatedly sought adjournments.

The relevant extract reads as under:

“ Today, once again, an adjournment comes to be prayed jointly. The instant contempt petition is pending since 20th December, 2016 but for adjournments no further proceeding has taken place. Such adjournments have the effect of clogging the Court. In the larger interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the parties to report the amicable settlement, if any, by the adjourned date.

However, taking note of the given facts and circumstances, costs of adjournment is quantified at Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) to be equally shared amongst the petitioners and the respondents to be deposited with Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee within 15 days. Receipt of deposit be filed a week before the date fixed.”

3. In the interest of justice, by way of the last opportunity the matter is adjourned. However, it is made clear that if arguments are not addressed on



the next date of hearing, the petition will be dismissed for non-prosecution.

4. List on 03.07.2023.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

APRIL 11, 2023/rk/ms