



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 324/2017, CM APPL. 8421/2024, CM APPL. 8422/2024
CM APPL. 8423/2024

VIJAY KUMAR SHARMA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Yadav Narender, Mr. Vivek Sharma,
Adv.

versus

TARANJIT KAUR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Pradeep K. Bakshi, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **12.09.2024**

1. As per the directions passed by this Court, office note has been furnished which reads as under:-

“Vide Dy. No. 836040/2021 dated 11.10.2021, application no. 62293/23(Order 22 rule 3 for Substitution of LRs of appellant) and application no. 62294/24(under section of limitation act for condonation of delay) were filed but the cases had been passed for 04.12.2023. As per Para 2 order dated 06.02.2024 applications were dismissed.”

2. Copy, thereof, has already been given to learned counsel who appears for respondent. Learned counsel for the respondent, however, submits that there are certain discrepancies. He, therefore, seeks for some time to file an appropriate affidavit. Let the same be done within seven days.

3. In the meantime, statement made by learned counsel is placed on record that he will not pray for execution proceedings. Let the matter be



called out on 24.09.2024.

4. Let the Registry to also send the physical file during the course of hearing on the next date.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

SEPTEMBER 12, 2024/KG