



\$~1

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

LA.APP. 213/2017 & CM APPL. 24173/2017

GIANI (SINCE DECEASED THR HIS LEGAL HEIRS) &
ORS

.....Appellants

Through: Ms. Suman Chaudhary, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, Advocate
for R-1
Mr. Naveen Chauhan, Advocate
For R-3 to 12 [except R-4(iii)]
(through vc)

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

28.03.2025

1. In compliance with order dated 19.03.2025, service status qua the respondents is as under:-
2. Mr. Naveen Chauhan, Advocate appears through vc and submits that he is representing respondent Nos.3 to 12 (**except respondent No.4(iii)**). He further submits that respondent No.3 is alive.
3. As regards service qua respondent No.4(iii), vakalatnama has been filed by Mr. D.R. Tomar, Mr. Udai Ram & Mr. Mohit Verma, Advocates and their appearance has been recorded in the proceedings on behalf of the said respondent.
4. At this stage, learned counsel for the appellant submits



that she is not aware whether respondent No.2 has expired and requests for issuance of notice to respondent No.2 through counsel-Mr. Sunil Chauhan, whose appearance has been recorded in order dated 05.12.2017 for the said respondent.

5. On taking steps within two weeks, issue notice to respondent No.2 through counsel, by all permissible modes including electronic mode, returnable on 13.05.2025.

REGISTRAR

MARCH 28, 2025

vk